arXiv:cond-mat/9302026v1 18 Feb 1993

The supergroup U(M/N) with regard to electronic Hamiltonians

Ko Okumura*
Department of Physics, City College of
the City University of New York, New York, NY10031

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We study the U(M/N) supergroup keeping in mind its connection with electronic Hamiltonians. It is explicitly shown that the generators of the supergroup U(N/N) can be expressed by Clifford operators or Fermi operators. A multi-band supersymmetric electronic model is suggested.

---

*On leave from *Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223, Japan*

I Introduction

Supersymmetry was discovered and has been developed mainly in the context of high-energy physics[1]. But recently the importance of supersymmetry in electronic lattice Hamiltonians has been noticed. It is known that the t-J Hamiltonian becomes supersymmetric at 2t=J[2]. This U(1/2) supersymmetric model has been studied extensively partly because it can be solved at least in one dimension[2]. A new electronic model for high-T_c superconductivity has been recently proposed by Essler, Korepin and Schoutens[3]. This model is also solvable and has a U(2/2) supersymmetry. It was also found by the author in [4](we call this " I " henceforward) that the above two supersymmetries of the models as well as the U(2) symmetry of the Heisenberg model are manifest when expressed in terms of U(2/2) operators.

With this in mind we study the U(M/N) group in the present paper. As a straightforward generalization of I, we explicitly show that U(N/N) group can be constructed both from the Clifford operators γ^μ and from the Fermi operators $c^{\pm}_{j\sigma}$. The electronic Hamiltonians are usually described by Fermi operators. On the one hand a transformation from Fermi operators to Clifford operators is known[5]. On the other hand we show that we can construct the U(N/N) supergroup from γ^μ. Then it is natural that the U(N/N) supergroup be realized directly by the Fermi operators as we show. A multi-band supersymmetric electronic Hamiltonian is also introduced.

The supergroup U(M/N) is defined as a transformation group on vectors

$\vec{x}$ on superspace V(M/N) which preserves the norm of these vectors. Here we mean, by vector on V(M/N), a complex vector taking the form

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_M \\ x_{M+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{M+N} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x}_B \\ \vec{x}_F \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

where the first M elements ($\vec{x}_B$) are ordinary complex numbers while the last N elements ($\vec{x}_F$) complex Grassmann numbers. Then, any element $G \in$ U(M/N) satisfies $G^+ G = 1$. The condition that $G\vec{x}$ must also be one of the elements of V(M/N) requires the following form for G.

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & C \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O & D \\ E & O \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

where A (C) is a M×M (N×N) complex matrix while D (E) is a M×N (N×M) complex Grassmann matrix whose matrix elements are complex Grassmann numbers. Hereafter we call the block matrix like the first matrix in (1.2) a *Bose block matrix* while the matrix like the second, a *Fermi block matrix* (regardless of whether these matrix elements are ordinary or Grassmann numbers).

As in ordinary Lie groups, let us introduce generators H or B and F in the following way.

$$G = e^{i\eta_j H_j} = e^{i(b_k B_k + f_l F_l)} \tag{1.3}$$

where b_k's (f_l's) are *real* (*real Grassmann*) parameters and B_k's (F_l's) are Bose (Fermi) block matrices. Notice here that all the matrix elements of B_j and F_j

are just complex numbers. Then the condition $G^+G = 1$ reduces to $B_j^+ = B_j$ and $F_j^+ = F_j$, that is, the hermicity of the generators. This condition makes the number of the independent generators or the dimensionality of the group to be $(\mathrm{M+N})^2$, $\mathrm{M}^2+\mathrm{N}^2$ of which are bosonic while 2MN are fermionic. Here we mention that in order to form a group the following relations are required.

$$\{F_j, F_k\} = f_{jkl}B_l\,, \quad [F_j, B_k] = if_{jkl}F_l\,, \quad [B_j, B_k] = if_{jkl}B_l \tag{1.4}$$

where f's are real numbers. Hereafter we call commutation and anti-commutation relations like (1.4) *graded commutation relations* in which the anti-commutator occurs only when both of the elements in the bracket are fermionic.

The $(\mathrm{M+N})^2$ generators H can be $(\mathrm{M+N})\times(\mathrm{M+N})$ independent hermitian matrices T^α ($\alpha = 1, \ldots, (\mathrm{M+N})^2$) satisfying

$$tr(T^\alpha T^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\, tr1, \tag{1.5}$$

$\mathrm{M}^2+\mathrm{N}^2$ of which are Bose block matrices while 2MN are Fermi block matrices. T^α forms a closed superalgebra with the graded commutator[1].

In this case any element of G of U(M/N) takes the form

$$G = e^{i\eta_\alpha T^\alpha} \tag{1.6}$$

where η's are real parameters.

Another matrix representation of the U(M/N) generators is given by X^{ac};

$$(X^{ac})_{ij} = \delta_{ia}\delta_{jc}. \tag{1.7}$$

---

[1] A way of constructing these matrices and explicit examples are given in Sec. II

where $a, c = 1, \ldots$,M+N. In other words X is another basis of the U(M/N) generators, different from T. Indeed T and X are related by a linear transformation;

$$T^{\alpha}_{ij} = T^{\alpha}_{ac} X^{ac}_{ij} \quad \text{or} \quad X^{ac} = \frac{1}{tr1} T^{\alpha}_{ca} T^{\alpha}. \tag{1.8}$$

X satisfies the following graded commutation rule which is a natural generalization of the ordinary U(N) algebra.

$$[X^{ac}, X^{a'c'}]_{\pm} = X^{ac'} \delta_{a'c} \pm X^{a'c} \delta_{ac'} \tag{1.9}$$

where $[,]_{\pm}$ denotes the graded commutator, that is, commutator($-$) or anticommutator($+$) the latter occurring only if both X's in the bracket are Fermi block matrices.

In this case any element of G of U(M/N) is given by

$$G = e^{i\zeta_{ac} X^{ac}} \tag{1.10}$$

where complex parameter ζ's are defined as $\zeta_{ac} = \eta_{\alpha} T^{\alpha}_{ac}$ with $a, c = 1, \ldots$,M+N.

In the X basis the algebra has the same form for any M and N while in the T basis it doesn't. Notice here that in the (M+N)×(M+N) matrix representation any form $[M_1, M_2]$ or $\{M_1, M_2\}$ where $M_1, M_2 \in T^{\alpha}$ or X^{ac} is a (M+N)×(M+N) matrix so that it can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of T^{α} or X^{ac}. Thus we can form U(M+N),U(M+N$-$1/1),$\ldots$,U(1/M+N$-$1) from T^{α} or X^{ac}.

II The construction of U(N/N) generators from Clifford or Fermi operators

In this section we explicitly show that the matrix representation of the U(N/N)[2] generators T and X can be realized by the $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{X}$ operators which are constructed from the Clifford(γ) and the Fermi(c and c^+) *operators* respectively. These $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{X}$ operators are related through

$$\hat{X}^{ac} = \frac{1}{tr1} T^\alpha_{ca} \hat{T}^\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{T}^\alpha = T^\alpha_{ac} \hat{X}^{ac} \tag{2.1}$$

where T^α_{ac} is the (a, c) element of the matrix T^α. Eqs. (2.1) implies the following relation[5];

$$\gamma^{2l-1} = c^l + (c^l)^+ , \quad \gamma^{2l} = -i(c^l - (c^l)^+) \tag{2.2}$$

which is the transformation between the γ (O(N)) operators and the Fermi (U(N)) operators.

II.1 The construction of T^α from Clifford operators

From the γ^μ operators which form the $2D$-dimensional Clifford algebra

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\mu, \nu = 1, \ldots, 2D), \tag{2.3}$$

we can construct through multiplication of γ's, 2^{2D} independent hermitian operators $\hat{T}^\alpha$ $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, 2^{2D})$ which satisfy

$$tr(\hat{T}^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} tr1. \tag{2.4}$$

---

[2] We can get U(M/N) generators (M≠N) in terms of these operators by applying appropriate projection operators as the examples are shown in Sec. III.

We give an explicit way for this construction in the following table.

$\hat{T}^\alpha$	# of indep. operators	$\hat{T}^\alpha$ $(D=1)$	$\hat{T}^\alpha$ $(D=2)$
1	${}_{2D}C_0 = 1$	1	1
γ^μ	${}_{2D}C_1 = 2D$	γ^μ	γ^μ
$(\gamma^{\mu_1}\gamma^{\mu_2} - \gamma^{\mu_2}\gamma^{\mu_1})/(2i)$	${}_{2D}C_2$	–	$\sigma^{\mu\nu}$
$\langle\langle\gamma^{\mu_1}\gamma^{\mu_2}\gamma^{\mu_3}\rangle\rangle$	${}_{2D}C_3$	–	$i\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\langle\langle\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_l}\rangle\rangle$	${}_{2D}C_l$	–	–
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\langle\langle\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_{2D}}\rangle\rangle$	${}_{2D}C_{2D} = 1$	$\sigma^{\mu\nu}$	γ^5
total # of indep. operators	$\sum_{l=0}^{2D} {}_{2D}C_l = 2^{2D}$	4	16

where ${}_nC_r = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!\,r!}$ and

$$\langle\langle\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_l}\rangle\rangle = \begin{cases} -\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_l} & l = 4m, 4m+1 \\ -i\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_l} & l = 4m+2, 4m+3 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where m is an integer. Note that the operators in the even (odd) lines are Bose (Fermi) operators and both are 2^{2D-1} in number ($\sum_{l=0}^{D} {}_{2D}C_{2l} = \sum_{l=0}^{D-1} {}_{2D}C_{2l+1} = 2^{2D-1}$).

In this construction it is easy to see that (2.4) actually hold. So $\hat{T}^\alpha$ is an abstract representation of the generators of U(N/N) with N=2^{D-1}. In order to get a 2N×2N matrix representation or a defining representation of T^α from $\hat{T}^\alpha$, we first write down $2D$ 2N×2N independent Fermi block matrices and identify them with γ^μ ($\mu = 1, \ldots, 2D$). Then through multiplication of these 2N×2N γ^μ matrices we get the other T^α 's. An example for $D = 2$ case is given in Sec. III.

Notice here that the $\hat{T}^\alpha$ thus obtained can form U(2N) etc. other than

U(N/N) with appropriate redefinition of the Fermi and Bose operators. Non the less if we introduce local γ_j^μ $(j = 1, \ldots, L)$ at each *site* and require anti-commutation rule among different site operators, that is, $\{\gamma_j^\mu, \gamma_k^\nu\} = 2\delta_{jk}\delta_{\mu\nu}$ and construct T_j^α then the global $\tilde{T}^\alpha$ $(= \sum_{j=1}^{L} T_j^\alpha)$ forms only U(N/N).

II.2 The construction of X^{ac} from Fermi operators

From $2n$ Fermi operators $(c^l)^\pm$ where $(c^l)^- = c^l$ with $l = 1, \ldots, 2n$[3] which satisfy

$$\{c^l, (c^l)^+\} = \delta_{ll'} \tag{2.6}$$

we can construct $2^{2n} \times 2^{2n}$ independent real operators X^{ac} $(a, c = 1, \ldots, 2^{2n})$ which satisfy

$$[\hat{X}^{ac}, \hat{X}^{a'c'}]_\pm = \hat{X}^{ac'}\delta_{a'c} \pm \hat{X}^{a'c}\delta_{ac'}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let us first introduce vacuum state $|0\rangle$ which is annihilated by c^l; $c^l|0\rangle = 0$. Then all the possible independent state are as follows.

Type of states	# of indep. states
$\|0\rangle$	${}_{2n}C_0 = 1$
$(c^{l_1})^+\|0\rangle$	${}_{2n}C_1 = 2n$
$(c^{l_1})^+(c^{l_2})^+\|0\rangle$	${}_{2n}C_2$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$(c^{l_1})^+ \cdots (c^{l_r})^+\|0\rangle$	${}_{2n}C_r$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$(c^{l_1})^+ \cdots (c^{l_{2n}})^+\|0\rangle$	${}_{2n}C_{2n} = 1$
total # of indep. states	$\sum_{r=0}^{2n} {}_{2n}C_r = 2^{2n}$

---

[3] l tacitly denotes *band*- and *spin*-indices.

Now we can introduce $2^{2n} \times 2^{2n}$ independent operators $\hat{X}^{ac}$;

$$\hat{X}^{ac} = |a\rangle\langle c| \quad (a, c = 1, \ldots, 2^{2n}) \tag{2.8}$$

It is easy to check that (2.7) holds. Noting that

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| = \, : e^{-(c^l)^+ c^l} : \, = \, : \prod_l (1 - (c^l)^+ c^l) : \, , \tag{2.9}$$

Eq.(2.1) may be derived directly. X^{ac} is called Fermi (Bose) type if the total number of Fermi operators in $|a\rangle\langle c|$ is odd (even). Notice here that the number of independent Bose and Fermi operators is 2^{4n-1} each. So $\hat{X}^{ac}$ is an abstract representation of the generators of U(N/N) with N=2^{2n-1}. The 2N×2N matrix representation is clearly given by (1.7).

Notice here that the $\hat{X}^{ac}$ thus obtained can form U(2N) etc. other than U(N/N) with appropriate redefinition of the Bose and Fermi operators. If we introduce local c_j^l at each site ($j = 1, \ldots, L$), require ordinary fermion anti-commutation relation

$$\{c_j^l, (c_{j'}^{l'})^+\} = \delta_{jj'}\delta_{ll'} \tag{2.10}$$

on it and define local $X_j^{ac} = |a_j\rangle\langle c_j|$ then the X_j^{ac} satisfies

$$[X_j^{ac}, X_{j'}^{a'c'}]_\pm = \delta_{jj'}(X_j^{ac'}\delta_{a'c} \pm X_j^{a'c}\delta_{ac'}). \tag{2.11}$$

Here we have introduced the *local* vacuum $|0\rangle_j$ which is annihilated by c_j^l and $|a_j\rangle = (c^{l_1})^+ \cdots (c^{l_k})^+|0\rangle_j$. In this case the vacuum is defined as

$$|0\rangle = \otimes_j |0\rangle_j \tag{2.12}$$

which satisfies $c_j^l|0\rangle = 0$. Now if we define the global $\tilde{X}^{ac} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} X_j^{ac}$, then $\tilde{X}^{ac}$ forms only U(N/N).

III Examples from condensed matter physics

Let us consider a one-band electronic lattice Hamiltonian like Hubbard model which has L lattice points[4]. Then the Hilbert space is spanned by the states of the form

$$|a_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_j\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_L\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

where $|a_j\rangle$ is $|1_j\rangle = |0\rangle_j$, $|2_j\rangle = c_{j\uparrow}^+ c_{j\downarrow}^+ |0\rangle_j$, $|3_j\rangle = c_{j\uparrow}^+|0\rangle_j$, or $|4_j\rangle = c_{j\downarrow}^+|0\rangle_j$. Here $|0\rangle_j$ is defined as $c_{j\sigma}|0\rangle_j = 0$ and the vacuum $|0\rangle = \otimes|0\rangle_j$ satisfies $c_{j\sigma}|0\rangle = 0$. Then we can introduce 16 X_j^{ac} operators at each site and construct the global $\tilde{X}^{ac}$ which forms U(2/2).

Next we consider the restricted Hilbert space $P|a_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_L\rangle$ in which doubly occupied states $|2_j\rangle$ are missing. Here we have introduced the Gutzwiller projection operator P (defined in I). On this space X_j^{ac} becomes $PX_j^{ac}P$ (or X_j^{ac} with only $a, c = 1, 3, 4$). This restricted X_j^{ac} is the Hubbard projection operator and $\tilde{X}^{ac}$ forms U(1/2).

Further, consider other restricted spaces $P_F|a_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_L\rangle$ and $P_B|a_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_L\rangle$ in which $|1_j\rangle$, $|2_j\rangle$ and $|3_j\rangle$, $|4_j\rangle$ states are missing respectively. Here P_F and P_B are also defined in Eqs.(2.12) and (2.13) of I. It is clear that both $P_F\tilde{X}^{ac}P_F$ and $P_B\tilde{X}^{ac}P_B$ satisfy the U(2) algebra. Notice here that in these cases all the Fermi operators of X_j^{ac} are missing and thus the superalgebra reduces to an ordinary algebra.

In terms of $\hat{T}^{\alpha}$ or γ operators, we first define γ through Eq.(2.1) of I and then the 16 $\hat{T}^{\alpha}_{j}$ become $1, \gamma^{\mu}_{j}, \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{j}, i\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu}_{j}, \gamma^{5}_{j}$. In this case the 16 T^{α}'s $(= (1, \Gamma^{\mu}, \Sigma^{\mu\nu}, iA^{\mu}, \Gamma^{5}))$ are explicitly given by (2.5) of I and the U(2/2) algebra becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \Sigma^{\rho\tau}] &= 4i(\delta_{\mu\tau}\Sigma^{\nu\rho} + \delta_{\nu\rho}\Sigma^{\mu\tau} - \delta_{\mu\rho}\Sigma^{\nu\tau} - \delta_{\nu\tau}\Sigma^{\mu\rho}), \quad [\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \Gamma^{5}] = [\Gamma^{5}, \Gamma^{5}] = 0 \\
\{\Gamma^{\mu}, \Gamma^{\nu}\} &= \{iA^{\mu}, iA^{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\Gamma^{\mu}, iA^{\nu}\} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}\Sigma^{\rho\tau} \\
[\Gamma^{\mu}, \Sigma^{\rho\tau}] &= 2i(\delta_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\tau} - \delta_{\mu\tau}\Gamma^{\rho}), \quad [\Gamma^{\mu}, \Gamma^{5}] = 2i(iA^{\mu}) \\
[iA^{\mu}, \Sigma^{\rho\tau}] &= 2i(\delta_{\mu\rho}iA^{\tau} - \delta_{\mu\tau}iA^{\rho}), \quad [iA^{\mu}, \Gamma^{5}] = -2i(\Gamma^{\mu}) \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider a 2-band electronic system where d and f electrons are described by canonical Fermi operator $d^{\pm}_{j\sigma}$ and $f^{\pm}_{j\sigma}$ which satisfy the usual anti-commutation rule. Introducing 16 independent states following the table in Sec. II. 2 we define 256 independent X^{ac}_{j}'s at each site. Then the global $\tilde{X}^{ac}$ form U(8/8) and appropriate projection operators reduce U(8/8) to other U(M/N).

In terms of the γ operator we introduce 8 γ^{μ} matrix as real and imaginary parts of $d^{\pm}$ and $f^{\pm}$ (see (2.2)) and construct the T operators.

Finally we would like to mention that the U(M/N) symmetry of the model manifests itself if we rewrite Hamiltonians in terms of the X operators[4]. As a straightforward generalization of the result given in I the electronic lattice

Hamiltonian given by

$$H = -t \sum_{<jk>} \sum_{ac} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (-1)^{F(c)} \tag{3.3}$$

for n-band electronic system ($a, c = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{2n-1}$) will be interesting. This model has a U($2^{2n-1}/2^{2n-1}$) symmetry.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor Bunji Sakita for suggestions, discussions, and encouragement throughout this work. I also thank the Rotary Foundation for a scholarship. This work was supported by NSF grant PHY90−20495 and PSCBHE grant 6−63351.

References

[1] For general reference to supersymmetry, see, for example, the following. P. G. O. Freund and I. Kaplansky, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 228 (1976); V. Rittenberg, *A Guide to Lie Superalgebras*, in Supergravities in diverse dimension Vol. 1, 64 (North-Holland/World Scientific 1989); P. G. O. Freund, *Introduction to Supersymmetry* (Cambridge University Press 1986)

[2] P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 821 (1988); D. Forster, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2140 (1989); S. Sarker, J. Phys. **A23**, L409 (1990); S. Sarker,

J. Phys. **A24**, 1137 (1991); P. A. Bares, G. Blatter and M. Ogata, Phys. Rev. **44**, 130 (1991).

[3] F. H. L. Essler, V. E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** 2960 (1992) and *Electronic model for superconductivity*, preprint ITP-SB-92-20.

[4] K. Okumura, *Various condensed matter Hamiltonians in terms of U(2/2) operators and their symmetry structures*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B** (to be published).

[5] R. N. Mohapatra and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. **D21**, 1062 (1980).